UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/540,381	06/23/2005	Isador H Lieberman	CCF-6389PCT1/US	2535	
	7590 11/03/200 NDHEIM, COVELL &	EXAMINER			
1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700			PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3733		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/03/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/540,38	31	LIEBERMAN, ISADOR H				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Pedro Phi	logene	3733				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THE 1.136(a). In no evicted will apply and with the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	R August 2008	1					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 August 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	o)							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election r	equirement.					
	on Papers		•					
		inor						
•	The specification is objected to by the Exam		□ objected to by the I	Evaminor				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
			-		YED 1 121/d\			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/540,381 Page 2

Art Unit: 3733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-12, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strickland (5,507,800).

Strickland discloses an apparatus comprising a shaft member (10) extending between a proximal end portion and a distal end portion, the shaft member, proximal end portion and distal end portion extending substantially along a central axis, as best seen in FIGS.1,3, the proximal end portion having a first surface adapted to (capable of) receive repetitive impacts, the distal end portion including a cutting blade(16) adapted to (capable o to cut through the bone and extending in a first plane between a shield section (14) and a guide section (15) the shield section and the guide section being oppositely disposed about the central axis, the shield section and the guide section extending axially beyond the cutting blade to recess the cutting blade in the distal end portion, the shield section including an inwardly facing shield surface, as best seen inFIG.2, which extends in a second plane that is transverse to the first plane of the cutting blade. The shield section includes an arcuate end surface for sliding underneath soft tissue present on the outer periphery surface of the bone as the shaft member is advanced, the shield surface functioning as a shield for the cutting blade to prevent cutting of the soft tissues, the shield section includes an outwardly facing ramp surface, as best seen in FIG.1, for cooperating with the arcuate end surface to lift the soft tissue off of the outer peripheral surface of the bone as the shaft member is advanced.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,381

Art Unit: 3733

wherein the guide section comprises a blunt tooth (17) extending generally parallel to the central axis and acting as a guide to ensure that the distal end portion of the shaft member follows the contours of the inner cortical surface of the bone as the said shaft member is advanced, wherein the guide section includes an enlarged terminal end (18) that resembles a bulb.

Page 3

Applicant is reminded that anticipation under 35 USC 102 (b) or 102(e) is established when a single prior reference discloses, either expressly or under principles of inherency, each and every element of the claimed invention. RCA Corp v. Applied Digital Data System, inc. 730 F.2d 1440, 221 USPQ 385 (Fed. Cir. 1984) Furthermore, it is well settle that the law of anticipation does not require that the reference teaches what appellant is teaching or has disclosed, but only that the claims on appeal "read on" something disclosed in the reference, i.e., all limitations of the claims are found in the reference. Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp., 713 F.2d 760, 218 USPQ 781 (Fed. Cir. 1083). Moreover, it is not necessary for the applied reference to expressly disclose or describe a particular element or limitation of a rejected claim word for word as in the rejected claim so long as the reference inherently discloses that element or limitation. Standard Havens Products, Inc. v. Gencor Industries, Inc., 953 F.2d 1360, 21 USPQ2d. 1321 (Fed. Cir. 1991). With regard to the recitation that an element is "adapted to" or "configured to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In addition, the manner in which a device is intended to be employed, does not differentiate the claimed Application/Control Number: 10/540,381 Page 4

Art Unit: 3733

apparatus from the prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1887).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 7, 13, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strickland (5,507,800) in view of Woods (117,588).

It is noted that Strickland teaches all the limitations except for a guide section that is substantially narrower in width than the shield portion and the guide section projects axially beyond the shield section; as claimed by applicant. However, Woods provides the evidences of the use of a guide section that is substantially narrower in width than the shield portion and the guide section projects axially beyond the shield section to widen the space or opening between the guide and the shield without increasing the width of the cutter.

Therefore, given the teaching of Woods, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Strickland, as taught by Woods to widen the space or opening between the guide and the shield without increasing the width of the cutter.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 8/8/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Please, see (102) rejection above.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/540,381 Page 6

Art Unit: 3733

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Pedro Philogene/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3733 October 30, 2008